



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/680,118		10/04/2000	Jon B. Avner	13768.173	7799
22913	7590	07/22/2003			
	N NYDI	EGGER (F/K/A W	EXAMINER		
SEELEY) 60 EAST SC			HAMILTON, MONPLAISIR G		
1000 EAGL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111				2172	Q

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PRG				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/680,118	AVNER ET AL.					
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Monplaisir G Hamilton	2172					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
EPLY FILED 7/8/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. ore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a jection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of a 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officed.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriation of the final control of t	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o						
★ They raise new issues that would require further ★ They raise new issues that would require further for the further further for the further further further for the further fur	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,					
they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the				
they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
Γhe a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> .							

			714					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/680,118	AVNER ET AL.						
,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Monplaisir G Hamilton	2172	-					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 7/8/03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) objected to: _____. Claim(s) rejected: 1-25. Claim(s) cancelled: 26.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _

7. \boxtimes For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended the claims to further define the claimed invention. Specifically applicant has amended Claims 1, 17, 19 and 25 to define the claimed invention over Wiederhold's disclosure of a security mediator/officer. Examiner holds that this amendment introduces new matter because, the examiner couldn't foresee this type of amendment based on the previous argument/amendment. The limitation before amendment read "prior to implementing the high-level document command, an act of identifying one or more client applications that are to be notified of the receipt of the high level document commands", this limitation now reads "prior to implementing the high-level document command, an act of identifying one or more client applications that are to be notified of the receipt of only high level document commands. This raises a new issue because applicant has changed the scope of the claimed invention.